

Phase structure of the generalized two-dimensional Yang-Mills theory on sphere

M. Alimohammadi^{1,a}, A. Tofghi^{2,3,b}

¹ Department of Physics, University of Tehran, North-Kargar Ave., Tehran, Iran

² Department of Physics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

³ Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

Received: 30 September 1998 / Revised version: 13 December 1998 / Published online: 15 April 1999

Abstract. We find a general expression for the free energy of $G(\phi) = \phi^{2k}$ generalized two-dimensional (2D) Yang-Mills theory in the strong ($A > A_c$) region for large N . We also show that in this region, the density function of Young tableau of these models is a three-cut problem. In the specific ϕ^6 model, we show that the theory has a third order phase transition, like the ϕ^2 (YM₂) and ϕ^4 models. We note problems for cases where $k \geq 4$, and at the end, examine the phase structure of the $\phi^2 + g\phi^4$ model for the $g \leq A/4$ region.

1 Introduction

The pure 2D Yang-Mills theory (YM₂) is defined by the Lagrangian $\text{tr}(F^2)$ on a compact Riemann surface. In an equivalent formulation of this theory, one can use $i\text{tr}(BF) + \text{tr}(B^2)$ as the Lagrangian, where B is an auxiliary pseudo-scalar field in the adjoint representation of the gauge group. Path integration over field B leaves an effective Lagrangian of the form $\text{tr}(F^2)$.

Pure YM₂ theory, as applied to a compact Riemann surface, is characterized by its invariance under area-preserving diffeomorphism and its lack of propagating degrees of freedom. These properties are not unique to the $i\text{tr}(BF) + \text{tr}(B^2)$ theory, but rather are shared by a wide class of theories, called the generalized Yang-Mills theories (gYM₂). These theories are defined by replacing the $\text{tr}(B^2)$ term by an arbitrary class function $\Lambda(B)$ ([10]). Aside from those discussed in [1], there are at least two reasons to study gYM₂. The first is that the Wilson loop vacuum expectation value of gYM₂ obeys the famous area law behaviour, ([11]), and this behaviour is a signal of confinement, one of the most important unsolved problems of QCD. Second, the existence of the third-order phase transition in some of the gYM₂ theories (one case is studied in [5] and other examples will be studied in this paper) is another indication for the equivalence of YM₂ and gYM₂ as a 2D counterpart of the theory of strong interaction.

The partition function of gYM₂ has been calculated in at least three ways: by regarding the generalized Yang-Mills action as a perturbation of topological theory at zero area ([10]); by following Migdal's suggestion about the local factor of plaquettes (it has been shown that this

generalization satisfies the necessary requirements) ([1]); and by a continuum approach, using the standard path integral method ([11]). The gYM₂ theories can be further coupled to fermions, thus obtaining QCD₂ and generalized QCD₂ theories ([1]). These theories have generated much interest in recent years. Phase structure, string interpretation and algebraic aspects of these theories are reviewed in [2].

In this paper we explore the phase structure of the gYM₂ theories. An early study of the phase transition of YM₂ in the large- N limit on a lattice reveals a third-order phase transition ([3]). The study of pure continuum YM₂ for large N on a sphere yields a similar result ([4]). This result is obtained by calculating free energy as a function of the area of the sphere (A) and distinguishing between the small- and large-area behaviour of this function. In [5], the authors consider gYM₂ for large N on a sphere and find an exact expression for an arbitrary gYM₂ theory in the weak ($A < A_c$) region, where A_c is the critical area. They also find a third-order phase transition for the specific ϕ^4 model.

In addition, we discuss the issue of phase transition for a wider class of theories. In Sect. 2 we review the derivation of the free energy and density function in the weak ($A < A_c$) region. In Sect. 3 we study the ϕ^{2k} theories. First, we show that the density function for these models has two maxima in the ($A < A_c$) region, like the ϕ^4 model. This enables us to use the method in [5] to obtain a general expression for free energy for these theories in the strong ($A > A_c$) region. In Sect. 4, we compute the free energy near the transition point for the specific ϕ^6 model, show that this model also has a third-order phase transition, and remark briefly on models where $k \geq 4$. Finally, in Sect. 5, we study another class of models, namely

^a e-mail:alimohmd@netware2.ipm.ac.ir

^b e-mail:umcc@dcu.ac.uk

the $\phi^2 + g\phi^4$ models. If $g \leq A/4$, then the density function will have only one maximum at the origin. We show that these models also undergo a third-order phase transition in this domain.

2 Large- N behaviour of gYM₂ at $A < A_c$

The partition function of the gYM₂ on a sphere is [5]

$$Z = \sum_r d_r^2 e^{-A\Lambda(r)}, \quad (1)$$

where r is the irreducible representation of the gauge group, d_r is the dimension of the r -th representation, A is the area of the sphere, and $\Lambda(r)$ is

$$\Lambda(r) = \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{a_k}{N^{k-1}} C_k(r), \quad (2)$$

in which C_k is the k -th Casimir of the group, and a_k is an arbitrary constant. We parametrize the representation of the gauge group $U(N)$ by $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_N$, where n_i is the length of the i -th row of the Young tableau. It is found that

$$d_r = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left(1 + \frac{n_i - n_j}{j - i} \right),$$

and

$$C_k = \sum_{i=1}^N [(n_i + N - i)^k - (N - i)^k]. \quad (3)$$

To make the partition function (1) convergent, it is necessary that p in (2) be even, and that $a_p > 0$.

Now, following [4], one can write the partition function (1), for large N , as a path integral over continuous parameters. We introduce the continuous function

$$\phi(x) = -n(x) - 1 + x, \quad (4)$$

where

$$0 \leq x := i/N \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n(x) := n_i/N. \quad (5)$$

The partition function (1) then becomes

$$Z = \int \prod_{0 \leq x \leq 1} d\phi(x) e^{S[\phi(x)]}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$S(\phi) = N^2 \left\{ -A \int_0^1 dx G[\phi(x)] + \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \log|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \right\}, \quad (7)$$

apart from an unimportant constant, and

$$G[\phi] = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k a_k \phi^k. \quad (8)$$

Now we introduce the density

$$\rho[\phi(x)] = \frac{dx}{d\phi(x)}, \quad (9)$$

where, for cases in which G is an even function, the normalization condition for ρ is

$$\int_{-a}^a \rho(\lambda) d\lambda = 1. \quad (10)$$

The function $\rho(z)$ in this case is ([5]),

$$\rho(z) = \frac{\sqrt{a^2 - z^2}}{\pi} \times \sum_{n,q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n n! (2n+q+1)!} a^{2n} z^q g^{(2n+q+1)}(0), \quad (11)$$

where

$$g(\phi) = \frac{A}{2} G'(\phi), \quad (12)$$

and $g^{(n)}$ is the n -th derivative of g . Similarly, one can express the normalization condition, (10), as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n n! (2n-1)!} a^{2n} g^{(2n-1)}(0) = 1. \quad (13)$$

Defining the free energy as

$$F := -\frac{1}{N^2} \ln Z, \quad (14)$$

the derivative of this free energy with respect to the area of the sphere is then

$$F'(A) = \int_0^1 dx G[\phi(x)] = \int_{-a}^a d\lambda G(\lambda) \rho(\lambda). \quad (15)$$

The condition $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_N$ imposes the following condition on the density $\rho(\lambda)$:

$$\rho(\lambda) \leq 1. \quad (16)$$

Thus, we first determine the parameter a from (13), then we calculate $F'(A)$ from (15). Note that the above solution is valid in the weak ($A < A_c$) region, where A_c is the critical area. If $A > A_c$, then the condition $\rho \leq 1$ is violated.

3 The $G(\phi) = \phi^{2k}$ model

In order to study the behaviour of any model in the strong ($A > A_c$) region, we need to know the explicit form of the density ρ in the weak ($A < A_c$) region. From (11) we can obtain ρ for any even function $G(\phi)$. However, in this section we consider a simple case, namely the $G(\phi) = \phi^{2k}$ model with arbitrary positive integer k . The density function ρ in the weak region is

$$\rho(z) = \frac{kA}{\pi} \sqrt{a^2 - z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n n!} a^{2n} z^{2k-2n-2}. \quad (17)$$

The interesting point is that the above density function has only one minimum at $z = 0$, and two maxima which are symmetric with respect to the origin. To see this, notice that setting the derivative of ρ equal to zero will yield $z = 0$, and

$$f(y) = -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{k-2} \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!} y^{-(n+1)} = 0, \quad (18)$$

where $y = z^2/a^2$. Because all of the coefficients of y in (18) are positive, the function $f(y)$ is a monotonically decreasing function and has only one root, i.e., y_0 . Next, expanding ρ near the origin, we obtain

$$\rho(z) = \frac{kA}{\pi} \frac{(2k-3)!!}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!} \times a^{2k} \left(1 + \frac{2k-1}{2(2k-3)} z^2 a^{-2} + \dots \right), \quad (19)$$

thus, $\rho''(0) > 0$. The origin is then a minimum. But near the points $z = \pm a$, the curve $\rho(z)$ is concave downward; consequently the points $z_0^{(\pm)} = \pm a\sqrt{y_0}$ will correspond to two symmetric maxima of the density. Therefore in the strong region, all the ϕ^{2k} models are *three-cut* problems. The function $F'(A)$ for $G(\phi) = \phi^{2k}$ in the weak region is ([5]):

$$F'_w(A) = \frac{1}{2kA}. \quad (20)$$

Next, we study the strong ($A > A_c$) region. Following [5], we use the following ansatz for ρ :

$$\rho_s(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \in [-b, -c] \cup [c, b] =: L' \\ \tilde{\rho}_s(z), & z \in [-a, -b] \cup [-c, c] \cup [b, a] =: L. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Then, if we define the function $H_s(z)$ as in [6],

$$H_s(z) := \text{P} \int_{-a}^a dw \frac{\rho_s(w)}{z-w}, \quad (22)$$

where P indicates the principal value of the integral, it has the following expansion for large values of z :

$$H_s(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^3} \int_{-a}^a \rho_s(\lambda) \lambda^2 d\lambda + \dots + \frac{1}{z^{2k+1}} F'_s(A) + \dots \quad (23)$$

Hence, one can obtain $F'_s(A)$ via expansion of $H_s(z)$.

To calculate the function $H_s(z)$, we follow the same steps outlined in [5], and using some complex analysis techniques ([7]), obtain the following result for ϕ^{2k} model:

$$H_s(z) = kAz^{2k-1} - kAR(z) \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n,p,q) z^{2(k-n-p-q-2)} - 2R(z) \int_c^b \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda^2)R(\lambda)}. \quad (24)$$

where

$$\alpha(n,p,q) = \frac{(2n-1)!!(2p-1)!!(2q-1)!!}{2^{n+p+q} n! p! q!} a^{2n} b^{2p} c^{2q}, \quad (25)$$

and

$$R(z) = \sqrt{(z^2 - a^2)(z^2 - b^2)(z^2 - c^2)}. \quad (26)$$

Now, we expand $H_s(z)/R(z)$ and demand that it behaves like $1/z^4$ for large values of z . It can be shown that the coefficients for all positive powers of z in the above expansion are equal to zero. Next, we calculate the coefficients of $1/z^2$; this gives us

$$kA \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n,p,q) - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} = 0, \quad (27)$$

in which $n + p + q = k - 1$. By setting the coefficient of $1/z^4$ to one, we obtain

$$kA \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} \alpha(n,p,q) - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^3 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} = 1, \quad (28)$$

where $n + p + q = k$. In the $k = 2$ case, the ϕ^4 theory, (27) and (28) reduce to

$$A(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) = 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{R(\lambda)}, \quad (29)$$

and

$$A \left[\frac{3}{4}(a^4 + b^4 + c^4) + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 b^2 + b^2 c^2 + c^2 a^2) \right] - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^3 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} = 1, \quad (30)$$

which are the same equations that were obtained in [5].

We can express the action in terms of $\rho_s(z)$. If we maximize this action, along with the normalization condition, (10), as a constraint, we obtain another equation. This procedure is fully explained in [5,8]. The result is

$$kA \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} \int_c^b \alpha(n,p,q) z^{2(k-n-p-q-2)} R(z) dz + 2 \int_c^b dz \text{P} \int_c^b \frac{R(z) \lambda d\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda^2)R(\lambda)} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Note that there are three unknown parameters, a , b , and c , in equations (27), (28), and (31).

To compute the function $F'_s(A)$, we start from the function $H_s(z)$ directly. First we expand $R(z)$:

$$R(z) = z^3 \sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{a^2}{z^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{b^2}{z^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{c^2}{z^2}\right)} = -z^3 \sum_{n',p',q'=0}^{\infty} \beta(n',p',q') z^{-2(n'+p'+q')}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\beta(n,p,q) = \frac{(2n-3)!!(2p-3)!!(2q-3)!!}{2^{n+p+q} n! p! q!} a^{2n} b^{2p} c^{2q}. \quad (33)$$

Furthermore, we define $(-3)!! = -1$. If we substitute the above expansion into (24), we obtain

$$H_s(z) = kAz^{2k-1} + kA \times \sum_{n,p,q,n',p',q'=0} \frac{\alpha(n,p,q)\beta(n',p',q')}{z^{2(n+p+q-k)+2(n'+p'+q')+1}} + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n',p',q'=0} \frac{\beta(n',p',q')}{z^{2(n+n'+p'+q')-1}} \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^{2n+1} d\lambda}{R(\lambda)}. \quad (34)$$

From (23) we see that the coefficient of $1/z^{2k+1}$ in the expansion of $H_s(z)$ is $F'_s(A)$. Therefore, from (34) we get

$$F'_s(A) = kA \sum_{n,p,q,n',p',q'=0} \alpha(n,p,q)\beta(n',p',q') + 2 \sum_{n,n',p',q'=0} \beta(n',p',q') \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^{2n+1} d\lambda}{R(\lambda)}. \quad (35)$$

Additionally, in the first summation of (35) we have the following conditions on the indices:

$$(n+p+q) + (n'+p'+q') = 2k, \quad (36a)$$

and

$$2k - 2n - 2p - 2q - 4 \geq 0. \quad (36b)$$

Condition (36a) appears due to the selection of a specific power of z in the expansion, and condition (36b) is due to complex integration. Furthermore, in the second summation we have the following condition on the indices:

$$n + (n' + p' + q') = k + 1. \quad (36c)$$

In this way, we find the explicit relation of the free energy of the ϕ^{2k} models. For the $k = 2$ case, our results agree with those in [5].

4 The $G(\phi) = \phi^6$ model

Applying the previous results, we will investigate carefully the phase structure of the $G(\phi) = \phi^6$ model. From (17)

we obtain the density ρ for this model in the weak region; the result is

$$\rho(z) = \frac{3A}{\pi} \left(\frac{3a^4}{8} + \frac{a^2 z^2}{2} + z^4 \right) \sqrt{a^2 - z^2}. \quad (37)$$

From the normalization condition, (13), we obtain $a = (16/(15A))^{1/6}$. In addition, we see from (20) that $F'_w(A) = 1/(6A)$. This density function has a minimum at $z=0$, and two maxima at $z_0^{(\pm)} = \pm (\sqrt{\sqrt{3}+1}) a/2$. At $A = A_c$, the density function (37) is equal to one at $z_0^{(\pm)}$. From this, we find the critical area A_c :

$$A_c = \pi^6 \left(\frac{3125}{10368} - \frac{15625\sqrt{3}}{93312} \right). \quad (38)$$

For $A > A_c$, (37) is not valid. Next, we analyse this model in the strong ($A > A_c$) region. Equations (27), (28), and (31) in this case become (39), (40), and (41), respectively:

$$3A \left[\frac{3}{8}(a^4 + b^4 + c^4) + \frac{1}{4}(a^2 b^2 + b^2 c^2 + c^2 a^2) \right] - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$3A \left[\frac{5}{16}(a^6 + b^6 + c^6) + \frac{3}{16}(a^2 b^4 + a^2 c^4 + b^2 a^4 + b^2 c^4 + c^2 a^4 + c^2 b^4) + \frac{1}{8} a^2 b^2 c^2 \right] - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^3 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} = 1, \quad (40)$$

and

$$3A \int_c^b \left(z^2 + \frac{a^2 + b^2 + c^2}{2} \right) R(z) dz + 2 \int_c^b dz \int_c^b \frac{R(z)\lambda d\lambda}{(z^2 - \lambda^2)R(\lambda)} = 0. \quad (41)$$

To study the structure of the phase transition, we must consider the theory applied to a sphere with $A = A_c + \epsilon$ area, where ϵ is an infinitesimal positive number. In this region, following [5], we use the following change of variables:

$$c = s(1 - y), \quad b = s(1 + y), \quad (42)$$

$$a = s\sqrt{2\sqrt{3} - 2 + e}.$$

Note that these parameters are introduced so that at critical points, e and y are equal to zero and s is equal to z_0^+ . Now, expanding the equations (39), (40) and (41), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 &As^4(18 - 6\sqrt{3}) - \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \\
 &+ \left(As^4 \left(\frac{9\sqrt{3}}{2} - 3 \right) + \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \right) e \\
 &+ \left(\frac{9As^4}{8} - \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \left(\frac{7}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right) e^2 \\
 &+ \left((9 + 3\sqrt{3})As^4 - \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \left(\frac{9}{4} + \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \right) y^2 \\
 &+ \left(\frac{3As^4}{2} + \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \left(\frac{77\sqrt{3}}{12} + \frac{89}{8} \right) \right) ey^2 \\
 &+ \left(3As^4 - \frac{\pi}{\eta s} \left(\frac{5363}{192} + \frac{129\sqrt{3}}{8} \right) \right) y^4 = 0, \quad (43)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(39\sqrt{3} - 57)As^6 - 1 - \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \\
 &+ \left((42 - 18\sqrt{3})As^6 + \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \right) e \\
 &+ \left(\left(\frac{45\sqrt{3}}{8} - \frac{9}{2} \right) As^6 - \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \left(\frac{7}{8} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right) e^2 \\
 &+ \left((33 + 3\sqrt{3})As^6 - \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \left(2\sqrt{3} + \frac{17}{4} \right) \right) y^2 \\
 &+ \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) As^6 + \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \left(\frac{35\sqrt{3}}{4} + \frac{121}{8} \right) \right) ey^2 \\
 &+ \left((3\sqrt{3} + 24)As^6 - \frac{\pi s}{\eta} \left(\frac{199\sqrt{3}}{8} + \frac{8267}{192} \right) \right) y^4 = 0, \quad (44)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &3(1 + \sqrt{3})As^5 - \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \\
 &+ \left(\left(\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2} + 6 \right) As^5 + \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{7}{6} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \right) e \\
 &- \left(\left(\frac{7\sqrt{3}}{8} + \frac{13}{8} \right) As^5 + \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{13\sqrt{3}}{9} \right) \right) e^2 \\
 &- \left((2 + 4\sqrt{3})As^5 + \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{91\sqrt{3}}{36} + \frac{211}{48} \right) \right) y^2 \\
 &+ \left(\left(\frac{25}{2} + \frac{15\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) As^5 + \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{635}{24} + \frac{275\sqrt{3}}{18} \right) \right) ey^2 \\
 &- \left(\left(\frac{56}{3} + \frac{32\sqrt{3}}{3} \right) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{\pi}{\eta} \left(\frac{60673\sqrt{3}}{1728} + \frac{23353}{384} \right) \right) y^4 = 0. \quad (45)
 \end{aligned}$$

The parameter $\eta = \sqrt{2\sqrt{3} - 3}$ is used for the sake of brevity in the above formulas. We also have kept terms up to order y^4 or e^2 (we will show that e is of order y^2). Next we obtain s from (43); the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
 s = &\left(\frac{\pi}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \left(\frac{12 + 7\sqrt{3}}{648} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{8} \right) e \right. \\
 &+ \left(\frac{5}{32} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{12} \right) e^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} \right) y^2 \\
 &\left. - \left(\frac{167}{96} + \frac{95\sqrt{3}}{96} \right) ey^2 + \left(\frac{911}{192} + \frac{11\sqrt{3}}{4} \right) y^4 \right]. \quad (46)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting s in (45) gives us

$$e = \left(\frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) y^2 - \left(\frac{15}{16} + \frac{37\sqrt{3}}{48} \right) y^4. \quad (47)$$

So e is of order y^2 . Using (44), we obtain

$$y^2 = \left(\frac{4\sqrt{3}}{15} - \frac{2}{5} \right) \delta + \left(\frac{317}{360} - \frac{77\sqrt{3}}{150} \right) \delta^2, \quad (48)$$

and

$$e = \left(\frac{11}{5} - \frac{17\sqrt{3}}{15} \right) \delta + \left(\frac{8533\sqrt{3}}{3600} - \frac{14929}{3600} \right) \delta^2. \quad (49)$$

The parameter δ is the reduced area, i.e., $\delta = (A - A_c)/A_c$. From (35), we find $F'_s(A)$; the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_s(A) = &\frac{3A}{1024} \left[35(a^{12} + b^{12} + c^{12}) \right. \\
 &- 12(a^6b^6 + a^6c^6 + b^6c^6) \\
 &+ 12a^2b^2c^2(a^4b^2 + a^4c^2 + b^4a^2) \\
 &+ b^4c^2 + c^4a^2 + c^4b^2) \\
 &+ 14a^4b^4c^4 - 2a^2b^2c^2(a^6 + b^6 + c^6) \\
 &- 19(a^8b^4 + a^8c^4 + b^8a^4) \\
 &+ b^8c^4 + c^8a^4 + c^8b^4) \\
 &- 10(a^{10}b^2 + a^{10}c^2 + b^{10}a^2 + b^{10}c^2 \\
 &+ c^{10}a^2 + c^{10}b^2) \left. \right] \\
 &+ \left[\frac{5}{64}(a^8 + b^8 + c^8) \right. \\
 &- \frac{1}{16}(a^6b^2 + a^6c^2 + b^6a^2 + b^6c^2 + c^6a^2 + c^6b^2) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{16}a^2b^2c^2(a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \\
 &\left. - \frac{1}{32}(a^4b^4 + a^4c^4 + b^4c^4) \right] \int_c^b \frac{\lambda d\lambda}{R(\lambda)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\frac{1}{8} \left[a^6 + b^6 + c^6 \right. \\
 & \left. - (a^2b^4 + a^2c^4 + b^2a^4 + b^2c^4 + c^2a^4 + c^2b^4) \right. \\
 & \left. + 2a^2b^2c^2 \right] \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^3 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} \\
 & +\frac{1}{4} \left[a^4 + b^4 + c^4 - 2(a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 + b^2c^2) \right] \\
 & \times \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^5 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} + (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^7 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)} \\
 & - 2 \int_c^b \frac{\lambda^9 d\lambda}{R(\lambda)}. \tag{50}
 \end{aligned}$$

To compute $F'_s(A)$, we express the parameters a, b and c in terms of δ ; after a lengthy calculation, (50) reduces to

$$F'_s(A) = \frac{1}{6A} \left[1 + \left(\frac{271}{10800} + \frac{1289\sqrt{3}}{504000} \right) \delta^2 + \dots \right]. \tag{51}$$

If we compare this with $F'_w(A)$ (given in the begining of this section), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F'_s(A) - F'_w(A) \\
 & = \left(\frac{271}{64800} + \frac{1289\sqrt{3}}{3024000} \right) \frac{1}{A_c} \left(\frac{A - A_c}{A_c} \right)^2 + \dots \tag{52}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have a *third-order* phase transition, which is the same as the ordinary YM_2 ([4]) and the $G(\phi) = \phi^4$ model ([5]). In principle, one could study other ϕ^{2k} models in the same manner; however, while the $k = 4$ case can be solved analytically, its expressions become too complicated, and the $k \geq 5$ case cannot be solved analytically.

5 The $G(\phi) = \phi^2 + g\phi^4$ model

So far in our study of the phase transition for gYM_2 theories, we have considered only those $G(\phi)$ that contained a single term. In [9], the authors study the phase transition of gYM_2 on a closed surface of arbitrary genus with area A . In particular, they investigate the $G(\phi) = \phi^2 + g\phi^3$ model. However, their treatment is mostly qualitative. In this section we consider a simple combination of ϕ^2 and ϕ^4 ; namely, we study the $G(\phi) = \phi^2 + g\phi^4$ model.

In the weak region we can obtain the density ρ from (10); the result is

$$\rho(z) = \frac{A}{\pi} \sqrt{a^2 - z^2} (1 + ga^2 + 2gz^2). \tag{53}$$

The above density will have only one maximum at $z = 0$, when

$$3ga^2 \leq 1. \tag{54}$$

The normalization condition (13) yields

$$\frac{1}{2} Aa^2 + \frac{3}{4} gAa^4 = 1. \tag{55}$$

Using (55), condition (54) reduces to

$$g \leq A/4. \tag{56}$$

Therefore, if this condition is satisfied, we will have a two-cut problem in the $A > A_c$ areas. Here after, we restrict ourselves to this region (condition (56)).

Using (15), we determine the free energy of this model:

$$F'_w(A) = \frac{1}{8} a^4 A + \frac{5}{16} g a^6 A + \frac{9}{64} g^2 a^8 A. \tag{57}$$

To study this model in the strong ($A > A_c$) region, we use the following ansatz for ρ ([4]):

$$\rho_s(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & z \in [-b, b] \\ \tilde{\rho}_s(z), & z \in [-a, -b] \cup [b, a] \end{cases}. \tag{58}$$

Using complex analysis ([4,5,6]), we obtain the function $H_s(z)$, defined using (22); the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_s(z) & = Az + 2gAz^3 - \sqrt{(z^2 - a^2)(z^2 - b^2)} \\
 & \times \left[2gAz + \int_{-b}^b \frac{d\lambda}{(z - \lambda)U(\lambda)} \right], \tag{59}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U(\lambda) = \sqrt{(a^2 - \lambda^2)(b^2 - \lambda^2)}. \tag{60}$$

Recalling (22), we see that $H_s(z)$ should behave as $1/z$ for large z . Therefore the coefficient of z in (59) must be equal to zero,

$$A + gAM - \int_{-b}^b \frac{d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} = 0, \tag{61}$$

and the coefficient of $1/z$ must be equal to 1:

$$\frac{1}{2} MA + gA \left(\frac{3}{4} M^2 - N \right) - \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^2 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} = 1. \tag{62}$$

In the above relations, $M = a^2 + b^2$ and $N = a^2b^2$. The two unknown parameters a and b can be determined from these two equations.

Using equations (15), (22) and (59), we obtain the following expression for free energy:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_s(A) & = \left(\frac{1}{8} M^2 - \frac{1}{2} N \right) \int_{-b}^b \frac{d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} M \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^2 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} - \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^4 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} \\
 & + g \left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} MN + \frac{1}{16} M^3 \right) \int_{-b}^b \frac{d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} \right. \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{8} M^2 - \frac{1}{2} N \right) \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^2 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{2} M \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^4 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} - \int_{-b}^b \frac{\lambda^6 d\lambda}{U(\lambda)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2gA \left(\frac{1}{4}MN - \frac{1}{16}M^3 \right) \\
 & -2g^2A \left(-\frac{1}{8}N^2 + \frac{3}{16}M^2N - \frac{5}{128}M^4 \right). \quad (63)
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to investigate the phase transition, we use the following change of variables:

$$a = a_c(1 - h), \quad (64)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{b}{a} \right)^2 = k. \quad (65)$$

The parameter a_c is the value of a at the critical point, and h and k are equal to zero at this point. At the critical point, the normalization condition (55) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}A_c a_c^2 + \frac{3}{4}g A_c a_c^4 = 1. \quad (66)$$

At $A = A_c$, $\rho(0)$ is equal to one, yielding

$$a_c A_c + g A_c a_c^3 = \pi. \quad (67)$$

In order to study the phase transition of this model, we limit ourselves to the small values of g (where $g \ll 1$). Using (66) and (67), A_c and a_c then become

$$A_c = \frac{\pi^2}{2} - g + \frac{4g^2}{\pi^2} + \dots, \quad (68)$$

and

$$a_c = \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{4g}{\pi^3} + \frac{40g^2}{\pi^5} + \dots. \quad (69)$$

Now we follow the same steps used in Sect. 4. First, we expand equations (61) and (62) in terms of h and k , and solve these equations for h and k . The results, up to order δ^2 , are

$$\begin{aligned}
 h &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3g}{2A_c} + \frac{27g^2}{2A_c^2} \right) \delta \\
 &+ \left(-\frac{5}{8} + \frac{7g}{4A_c} - \frac{287g^2}{8A_c^2} \right) \delta^2 + \dots, \quad (70)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 k &= \left(2 + 14\frac{g}{A_c} + 42\frac{g^2}{A_c^2} \right) \delta \\
 &+ \left(-\frac{7}{4} - \frac{93g}{2A_c} + \frac{283g^2}{4A_c^2} \right) \delta^2 + \dots. \quad (71)
 \end{aligned}$$

Second, we find $F'_s(A)$ using equation (63). The result is

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'_s(A) &= \frac{1}{A} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1g}{2A} + \frac{9g^2}{4A^2} \right. \\
 &\left. + \left(1 + 3\frac{g}{A} + 85\frac{g^2}{A^2} \right) \delta^2 + \dots \right\}. \quad (72)
 \end{aligned}$$

This relation is true only up to order g^2 and δ^2 . To compare (72) with $F'_w(A)$ in the weak region, we first note that a^2 , up to order g^2 , is (from (55))

$$a^2 = \frac{2}{A} \left(1 - 3\frac{g}{A} + 18\frac{g^2}{A^2} \right), \quad (73)$$

and from this, $F'_w(A)$ becomes (from (57)):

$$F'_w(A) = \frac{1}{A} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1g}{2A} + \frac{9g^2}{4A^2} \right). \quad (74)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F'_s(A) - F'_w(A) \\
 &= \frac{1}{A_c} \left(1 + 3\frac{g}{A_c} + 85\frac{g^2}{A_c^2} \right) \left(\frac{A - A_c}{A_c} \right)^2 + \dots. \quad (75)
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the $G(\phi) = \phi^2 + g\phi^4$ model in $g < A/4$ region also has a *third-order* phase transition. Also note that at $g = 0$, (75) reduces to

$$F'_s(A) - F'_w(A) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{A - A_c}{A_c} \right)^2 + \dots, \quad (76)$$

which is the same relation obtained in [4] for the ordinary 2-dimensional Yang-Mills theory.

Finally, it should be noted that for $g > A/4$, the density function ρ in (53) has two symmetric maxima in the weak ($A < A_c$) region. This gives us a three-cut problem and the above perturbative calculation is not possible.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank M. Khorrami for his useful comments. M. A. would also like to thank the Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics and the research council of the University of Tehran for their partial financial support.

References

1. M. R. Douglas, K. Li and M. Staudacher, Nucl. Phys. B **240** (1994) 140; O. Ganor, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. B **434** (1995) 139.
2. E. Abdalla and M. C. B. Abdalla, Phys. Rep. **265** (1996) 253.
3. D. Gross and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 446.
4. M. R. Douglas and V. A. Kazakov, Phys. Lett. B **319** (1993) 219.
5. M. Alimohammadi, M. Khorrami and A. Aghamohammadi, Nucl. Phys. B **510** (1998) 313.
6. E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J. B. Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys. **59** (1978) 35.
7. A. C. Pipkin, "A course on integral equations", (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
8. A. Aghamohammadi, M. Alimohammadi and M. Khorrami, "Uniqueness of the minimum of the free energy of the 2D Yang-Mills theory at large N", to appear in Int. Jour. Mod Phys. A **14** (1999).
9. B. Rusakov and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. B **339** (1994) 258.
10. E. Witten, Jour. Geom. Phys. **9** (1992) 303.
11. M. Khorrami and M. Alimohammadi, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12** (1997) 2265.